

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/980,940	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NOGUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher A. Fiorilla	<b>Art Unit</b> 1731	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher A. Fiorilla.

(2) Charles Wendel.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2004
**Time:** approx. 2:30pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 16.

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**CHRISTOPHER A. FIORILLA**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
ART UNIT 1731



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to make an examiner's amendment to correct indefiniteness in claim 16. Amendment involved changing phrase in last line from "the forming agent" to - - a forming agent - -, so that the phrase does not lead to indefiniteness with respect to the reference to "forming agents" earlier in the claim....